

Golden Rule Review Committee
1/21/2016

10:30: Meeting called to order

Roll call: Everyone present
Quorum reached

Minutes Approved

Agenda Approved

Christen: I got in contact with Dana Juntunen and will bring changes next meeting.

Jacob: Waiting to talk with both Betz and Clay to finish group responsibility.

Gilmer: Clay is on leave so that is going to take time.

Lauren: A distinction should be made that we are talking about current *active* members.

Gilmer: What about people who won't leave the area and keep coming back. The group is charged with disruptive conduct because they haven't kept the disruptive away.

Jacob: It should be made easier to take people off the roster. Then it's up to the organization to call the police and get rid of the disruptive person. At the next all presidents meeting they're going to talk to this and create a special committee.

Rachel: Next time we talk to Betz we should look at this from the perspective of all the RSO's not just Greek.

Rodolfo: However it's important to remember that it's more applicable to Greek RSO's because of the nature of their events

Jorge: I'll take the lead in the medical amnesty section. However Betz said we needed more medical research.

Jacob: We have a lot of medical research already, is he aware of that?

Rodolfo: Get into contact with him and see what he's looking for specifically.

Proposal 1: Submitted by former student so not considered.

Proposal 2: Students rights should be upheld. Denied because that already occurs.

Proposal 3: Students shall not be punished for hearsay. Possibly look into.

Proposal 4: Student cannot be punished for remarks made in a positive matter.
Denied due to situational context.

Proposal 5: Student cannot be punished for a minor offense if it seems to affect them negatively. Denied.

Advisors report: I had lots of meetings over the break. They included several important topics for student conduct to take into consideration:

- a. 5.008 2E= Adding the Title IX investigation so that student conduct and Title IX will be more in line with each other.
- b. 5.009 3C = Currently when multiple students are charged with the same offense, they all have separate hearings. The concern is that the panels could hear things differently and this makes it inconsistent. Working to create a multi student process so they can have multiple defendants and the same judge will review same charges. Not mandatory but gives options.
- c. 5.009 6CD = Students say they were not aware of having to pay fees and tuition if they are suspended mid way into the semester.
- d. 5.013 3C = A lot of the length of time is wasted during hearings. We need to embrace what is stated as a part of the hearing in the golden rule. Also, students don't get a physical copy, FERPA says students can inspect and review and our stance is that if a student needs that info we give it to them. But we need to be consistent, either we do or don't. Problem is that you can't take back what you give out. Records are joint owned by the university and the student. We want to make sure that all the info students need is made available to them.
- e. 5.015= Regarding academic misconduct, same thing as to having multiple people per hearing. Also an option for an informal review process needs to be added for students who admit they did something wrong. This will make it faster and more efficient. However the position of UCF is that we do not informally do things resulting in expulsion. Therefore this would only work for minor offenses.
- f. 5.016 4C = Where does the academic appeal committee exist. That has to be fixed.

Final roll call: Same as initial

11:15: Meeting adjourned

Next meeting on February 4th in the Sand Key Room 220