

Golden Rule Review Committee: Meeting Minutes 10/29/13

Meeting Called to Order: 12:02 PM

Chair Reports

Old Business

- Section One- Office of Student Rights and Responsibilities
 - Grammatical Errors recorded
 - Victims/Survivors of Acts of Violence page 8
 - Instead of changing the formatting of the entire section, the bullet itself can be bulleted in order to draw attention to it (similar to the information on page. 27)
- Grade Appeal Policies
 - The most troublesome part of the current process for students is the lack of timeline for communication with professors and other parties during the process
 - Should a minimum number of documented student outreaches without a response be required before the student is able to move to the next step? If so, what is that number? Should a time limit also been required? For example, three contacts in 30 days.
 - Within the Resolution of Student Appeals at the Informal Level procedures, the process can take up to six months. Is this too long?
 - To ensure that students meet with the appropriate parties prior to submitting a formal appeal to the committee, boxes could be added to the form
 - These boxes could say, “Did you meet with ___?”
 - Before moving forward, more information is needed. Meetings should be held with deans or professors who have gone through the process in the past, Dr. Vittes and possibly Dr. Ehasz.
 - These meetings may occur before inviting guests to speak at a Golden Rule Committee meeting in the spring
- Drug Emergencies Procedure
 - Additional research on best practices will be done and presented in the future

New Business

- 11/12: Substance Abuse, Student Organization page 61
- Be prepared to set spring meeting dates at a future meeting

Meeting Adjourned 1:03 PM