

Golden Rule Review Committee  
10/29/2015

10:34- Meeting Called to Order

Roll call: All members present  
Quorum reached

10:35- Minutes approved

Agenda changes: Rachel's last name corrected to Additon. Lauren added to Jacob's section (5.011)

Jorge: Did not know whom to contact for his section. Dana Jununtun will be the point of contact; she oversees student rights and responsibilities. Main problem with the section is that alcohol emergency section doesn't address medical amnesty.

Christen: Section 7 had a few grammar errors, will have a meeting with Michael Gilmer to talk more about this section.

Jacob: Grammar issues will be fixed as a committee.

Rodolfo: Looking over section 8 there were not any mistakes he could find. Still reaching out to points of contact.

Rodolfo/Princelee: Section 9 is pretty cut and dry. Possible changes are looking at sanctions following hearings.

Sara: Section 10, no discrepancies or confusions found.

Jacob: Section 11, spoke with Betz and Coleman, both think changes can be made to make section more clear on how group responsibility will be enforced. Also found a few wording issues.

Rodolfo: An organization can go through misconduct review for an active/inactive individual. Wording needs to be fixed of what inactive means.

Rachel: The members in charge of sections 11,12,13 should meet with Betz and Coleman together to be time efficient.

Jorge: Section 13, currently organizations can be accused of sexual misconduct, should debate if this is reasonable or not.

Sara: Section 15 has grammar errors. Also should follow the process in section 9 for clarity. However was looking at the older version of the Golden Rule.

Gilmer: Section 15 has been completely written so look it over again

Rodolfo: Once the student has reached out to a dean of college after being discontented with how the professor dealt with the issue, colleges make a review committee as required. Section 16 does not say how often or when the committee will be made. Should be clearer in the golden rule of when that would be.

Jorge: Section 16. Some grade appeals are time sensitive so the response time should be reduced for the informal appeal. Page 66 says no more than 6 months currently.

Gilmer: Also we should create a way of vetting an appeal because currently if a student files a grade appeal not based upon the criteria, the committee still needs to convene to review the dead appeal.

Princelee: Section 17 has no glaring issues. Also wants to know who is most likely to submit a proposal.

Lauren: We want to increase awareness that proposals can be submitted. Should make it a goal to find students who might want to submit a proposal but not know how to go about it

Princelee: Possible way of increasing awareness is to include a slide on the golden rule in the financial training module.

Lauren: Another idea is speaking with PanHellenic and IFC executive leaders.

Jacob: Another issue that needs to be looked at is getting changes from departments in time so that we can include the changes in the updated golden rule. Should we contact departments and send them a due date?

Lauren: Departments should have turned in changes by the end of the semester.

Gilmer: Should ask for department's updates in the fall and updates in the spring. Make sure we have one last call.

Jacob: When we fix grammar issues, come in with old and new version together so we can compare.

Timeline of strategic priorities (Jacob): We know what we want to hit the most so spend most time on that. Want everything situated by the end of the semester. Main focuses are group responsibility and conduct process.

Frequency of meetings (Jacob): Should we meet weekly? (Lauren): We've only had a few meetings so far so we should table that for later in semester.

Advisor report (Gilmer): Strongly encourage committee members to do things in writing so that we have a history of everything that we have done.

Lauren: Make sure we are all reading the current version of the golden rule.

Gilmer: UCF is seeking a grant for "EpiPen" for opiates. Need to make sure we aren't

Jacob: Next meetings goal will be quick administrative changes and grammar. Also everyone should get in contact with their departments.

Jorge: Jake and Rachel coordinate via email to talk with OSI together

Jacob: People working on sections together should meet outside of meeting to work together.

11:02- Meeting adjourned

Next meeting on November 5 2015, in Egmont Key Room 224