

## Golden Rule Committee Minutes from 10.23.2017

Anthony Minute – Present at 4:20pm

Aric Hawkins – Absent

Joseph Davis – Present

Julian Mayorga – Present

Emily Nole – Present

Beth Young – Present

Also Present – Sarah Laake, Rosemarie Timothy

- Updates:
  - Golden Rule Committee Website. Barebones with dates of our meetings. We can expand it if this is something we want to do.
  - Committee meeting date is every Monday for this semester. The discussion is if there are some meeting dates to take off.
  - Language inclusivity with in the Golden Rule. The removal of he/she pronouns. Make the document more inclusive to the entire student base.
  - Emily: How does it work at downtown if like a Valencia student cheats on a UCF student or Vise-Versa?
    - I met with someone with Valencia on Tuesday, and the issue is the student decision and
- There are 6 more Mondays if we meet every Monday.
- With the exact changes do you want them physically drafted or in the form of an email?
  - The changes for the proposed updates are to be sent out with the sections that are signed up for.
  - Are thing is that we need to get everything in by December 13<sup>th</sup>. Some sections will have more than others, so I think that if you lay out the sections for what will be spoken on when, that will work well.
  - Come up with a plan for how we want to tackle these immediate changes and how to reach out with the custodians in the other areas of the Golden Rule.
- I Spoke with the Attorney General from a few years ago and it was not receptive to the student body. He recommended we use a platform to reach out with the student body. In Senate they have the Student Body advocacy committee and they table each Wednesday on changes they want to have fixed. Going forward into spring set up bi monthly meetings and speak with students to find their problems instead of just our own. Most of the time they get complaints that they cant do anything about and it may not be something senate can fix but something we may be able to fix.
  - What if we had an external chair that deals with the PR and outreach like in Senate.
    - Aric and I spoke on that and we want to add someone who acts as a lieason that consults the other departments with in the university. Maybe

we can reach out to people more. Even in a perfect world, we still should do our part and reach out to them.

- I also have two other ideas that maybe we can push through at day at the capital. The first idea I had was regarding public record requests. Organizations going through student conduct and the moment their case is given to them , all of their records are made public and the media will falsely portray the organization as being guilty. We were talking about police departments and anything deemed as part of an investigation and all records regarding this is not available for public record requests until after the investigation is complete. Essentials putting a moratorium on record requests until after the case is complete. The other thing I had is with burden of proof I was curious as to why it was preponderance of evident instead of reasonable doubt?
  - There is an article called the Model Code as to why their conduct is modeled after what it is modeled after. I can send the article out so you all can read why we do what we do and why our model is the way it was.
- I guess the question is should we do more surveys or more along the lines of a focus group of student leaders on campus.
- I have spoken to Dr. Gilmore to understand student conduct and the disciplinary issues and how they can not hold leadership. I wanted to work on a way to make an organization to make them more accountable.